and

Amdt. dated February 22, 2011

Reply to Office Action of September 27, 2010

## Amendments to the Claims:

(original) An apparatus comprising a processor and a memory storing computer program code, wherein the memory and stored computer program code are configured, with the processor, to cause the apparatus to at least:

computing device comprising

<u>implement</u> a scheduler incorporating an algorithm for ordering the running of threads of execution having different priorities, the scheduler <u>maintaining a ready</u>; and <u>including a</u> list of threads which are scheduled to run on the device, ordered by priority;

the device further comprising implement at least one locking mechanism for blocking configured to block access to a resource of the device from all threads except for a thread that holds the locking mechanism,

wherein in an instance in which; and in which the scheduler selects a thread on the ready list to run, but the selected thread a scheduled thread which is blocked from running because a resource it requires is blocked, the scheduler does not switch to the blocked thread but retains the blocked thread in its place by priority on the ready list and instead yields to the thread which holds the locking mechanism and causes the thread which holds the locking mechanism to run.

(Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 1
wherein states are assigned to threads and the ready list comprises of all threads
having a common state.

Amdt. dated February 22, 2011

Reply to Office Action of September 27, 2010

3. (Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 2

wherein a blocked thread is not permitted to change its state.

(Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 1

wherein the ready list is subdivided in accordance with the priority of the threads

it contains.

5. (Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 1

wherein a thread is arranged to contains a pointer to any locking mechanism it is

blocked on.

6. (Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 1

wherein the memory and stored computer program code are configured, with the

processor, to cause the apparatus to implement comprising a plurality of non-

nestable locking mechanisms.

(Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 1

wherein the memory and stored computer program code are configured, with the processor, to cause the apparatus to call the scheduler is arranged to be called at

the end of an interrupt service routine which is caused to run on the computing

device.

(Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 1

wherein the locking mechanism(s) comprise(s) a mutex including a pointer, which

Amdt. dated February 22, 2011

Reply to Office Action of September 27, 2010

is null if the mutex is free or points to the thread holding the mutex, and includes

a flag indicating whether or not the mutex is contested.

9. (Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 8

wherein the algorithm is arranged configured to delegate memory management to

a replaceable memory model configured in dependence upon [[the]] a

configuration of the computing device apparatus.

10. (Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 9

wherein the memory model is arranged configured to run in either pre-emptible or

non-preemptible modes.

11. (Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 10

wherein a mutex is arranged configured to protect the module from running in the

pre-emptible mode.

12. (Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 1

wherein the scheduler is included in a kernel of an operating system of the

computing device apparatus.

13. (Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 12

wherein the kernel comprises a microkernel or a nanokernel, and wherein the

threads are, respectively, microkernel or nanokernel threads.

Amdt, dated February 22, 2011

Reply to Office Action of September 27, 2010

(Currently Amended) An apparatus emputing device according to claim 12
wherein the memory and stored computer program code are configured, with the

processor, to cause the apparatus to call the scheduler is arranged to be called each

time the kernel is unlocked.

15. (Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to any claim 1,

wherein the apparatus comprises comprising a mobile computing device.

16. (Currently Amended) An apparatus computing device according to claim 15,

wherein the mobile computing device comprises comprising a smart phone.

17. (Currently Amended) A method of operating a computing device, the method

comprising:

providing a scheduler incorporating an algorithm for ordering the running of

threads of execution having different priorities, the scheduler maintaining a ready and

including a list of threads which are scheduled to run on [[the]] a computing device,

ordered by priority; and

providing at least one locking mechanism for blocking configured to block access

to a resource of the device from all threads except for a thread that holds the locking

mechanism.

wherein in an instance in which the scheduler selects a thread on the ready list to

run, but the selected thread is blocked from running because a resource it requires is

Amdt. dated February 22, 2011

Reply to Office Action of September 27, 2010

blocked, the scheduler does not switch to the blocked thread but retains the blocked thread in its place by priority on the ready list and instead yields to the thread that holds the locking mechanism and causes the thread that holds the locking mechanism to run.

; and arranging for a scheduled thread which is blocked from running to cause the thread which holds the locking mechanism to run.

 (Currently Amended) [[An]] <u>A non-transitory computer-readable storage medium</u> <u>storing computer program code for an operating system for a computing device,</u> the operating system comprising;

a scheduler incorporating an algorithm for ordering the running of threads of execution having different priorities, the scheduler configured to maintain a ready and including means for providing a list of threads which are scheduled to run on the device, ordered by priority; and

at least one locking mechanism for blocking configured to block access to a resource of the device from all threads except for a thread that holds the locking mechanism.

wherein in an instance in which the scheduler selects a thread on the ready list to run, but the selected thread is blocked from running because a resource it requires is blocked, the scheduler is configured to not switch to the blocked thread but retain the blocked thread in its place by priority on the ready list and instead yield to the thread that holds the locking mechanism and cause the thread that holds the locking mechanism to run.

Amdt. dated February 22, 2011

Reply to Office Action of September 27, 2010

; and means for arranging for a scheduled thread which is blocked from running

because the resource it requires is locked to cause the thread which holds the locking

mechanism to run.

19. (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim [[18]]

 $\underline{17}$  wherein states are assigned to threads and the list comprises of all threads

having a common state.

20. (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim 19,

wherein arranged to inhibit a blocked thread is inhibited from changing its state.

21. (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim [[18]]

 $\underline{17}$ , further comprising subdividing arranged to subdivide the  $\underline{\text{ready}}$  list in

accordance with the priority of the threads it contains.

22. (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim [[18]]

17 wherein a thread is arranged to contains a pointer to any locking mechanism it

is blocked on.

23. (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim [[18]]

17 comprising providing a plurality of non-nestable locking mechanisms.

Amdt. dated February 22, 2011

Reply to Office Action of September 27, 2010

24. (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim [[18]]
17 wherein the scheduler is arranged to be called at the end of an interrupt service routine which is caused to run on the computing device.

- 25. (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim [[18]] 17 wherein the locking mechanism(s) comprise(s) a mutex including a pointer, which is null if the mutex is free or points to the thread holding the mutex, and includes a flag indicating whether or not the mutex is contested.
- 26. (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim 25 wherein the algorithm is arranged configured to delegate memory management to a replaceable memory model configured in dependence upon [[the]] a configuration of the computing device.
- (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim 26
  wherein the memory model is arranged configured to run in either pre-emptible or
  non-preemptible modes.
- (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim 27
  wherein a mutex is arranged configured to protect the module from running in the
  pre-emptible mode.

Amdt. dated February 22, 2011

Reply to Office Action of September 27, 2010

(Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim [[18]]
 wherein the scheduler is included in [[the]] a kernel.

- 30. (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim 29 wherein the kernel comprises a microkernel or a nanokernel and wherein the threads are, respectively, microkernel or nanokernel threads.
- (Currently Amended) A[[n]] method operating system according to claim 29
  wherein the scheduler is arranged to be called each time the kernel is unlocked.